US006434432B1

(12) **United States Patent**
Hao et al.

(10) **Patent No.: US 6,434,432 B1**
(45) **Date of Patent: Aug. 13, 2002**

(54) **METHOD FOR WRITING BACK MESSAGE ID INFORMATION TO A MATCH ID REGISTER AND A CAN MICROCONTROLLER THAT IMPLEMENTS THIS METHOD**

(75) Inventors: **Hong Bin Hao**; **William J. Slivkoff**, both of San Jose, CA (US)

(73) Assignee: **Koninklijke Philips Electronics N. V.**, Eindhoven (NL)

(*) Notice: Subject to any disclaimer, the term of this patent is extended or adjusted under 35 U.S.C. 154(b) by 0 days.

(21) Appl. No.: **09/474,905**

(22) Filed: **Dec. 30, 1999**

Related U.S. Application Data

(60) Provisional application No. 60/154,022, filed on Sep. 15, 1999.

(51) **Int. Cl.**[7] **G05B 15/00**

(52) **U.S. Cl.** **700/1**; 700/19; 700/20; 700/55; 370/312; 370/470; 370/471

(58) **Field of Search** 700/1, 19, 20, 700/23, 24, 25, 32, 55, 52; 370/470, 471, 312

(56) **References Cited**

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

5,323,385 A * 6/1994 Jurewicz et al. 340/3.1
6,252,851 B1 * 6/2001 Siu et al. 370/236
6,304,908 B1 * 10/2001 Kalajan 709/229
6,357,014 B1 * 3/2002 Correia 713/502
6,374,303 B1 * 4/2002 Armitage et al. 370/390
6,381,604 B1 * 4/2002 Caughran et al. 707/10

FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS

DE 4129412 A1 3/1993 ..... G06F/13/38

* cited by examiner

Primary Examiner—Ramesh Patel

(57) **ABSTRACT**

A method for acceptance filtering incoming CAN frames, in a CAN device that provides a plurality of message objects each of which has an associated message buffer, at least one associated match ID register, and at least one associated mask register. The method includes the steps of extracting a multi-bit screener ID field from a received CAN frame, and then comparing the extracted screener ID field to a respective, user-specified multi-bit match ID field stored in the at least one match ID register associated with each enabled one of the message objects designated to be a receive message object, wherein the at least one mask register associated with each enabled message object designated to be a receive message object stores a user-specified, multi-bit mask field that masks selected bits of the corresponding match ID field, the masked bits being excluded from the comparisons. If a match is found as a result of the comparing step, data bytes of the received CAN frame are stored in the message buffer associated with the matching message object, and the extracted screener ID field is written into the at least one match ID register associated with the matching message object to replace the match ID field associated with the matching message object. The extracted screener ID field is not stored in the message buffer associated with the matching message object.

39 Claims, 7 Drawing Sheets



RTR ... RemoteTransmitRequest
SRR ... SubstituteRemoteRequest
IDE ... ID Extension
r1, r0 ... "reserved" bits
DLC ... DataLengthCode (0,1, ..., 8)
IFS ... InterFrameSpace


STANDARD
Bus Idle
SOF 1-bit
CAN ID 11-bits
RTR 1-bit
IDE 1-bit
r0 1-bit
DLC 4-bit
Data Field (0.1,..., 8 Bytes) 0.8,..., 64-bits
CRC 15-bits
CRC DEL 1-bit
ACK 1-bit
ACK DEL 1-bit
EOF 7-bits
IFS 3-bits
Bus Idle
Bus Idle
SOF 1-bit
Base ID 11-MSBs
SRR 1-bit
IDE 1-bit
Extended ID 18-LSBs
RTR 1-bit
r1 1-bit
r0 1-bit
DLC 4-bit
Data Field (0.1,..., 8 Bytes) 0.8,..., 64-bits
CRC 15-bits
CRC DEL 1-bit
ACK 1-bit
ACK DEL 1-bit
EXTENDED
RTR ... RemoteTransmitRequest
SRR ... SubstituteRemoteRequest
IDE ... ID Extension
r1, r0 ... "reserved" bits
DLC ... DataLengthCode (0,1, ..., 8)
IFS ... InterFrameSpace

FIG. 1


CAN.bus
8-Byte
CAN Data Frame
8-Byte
CAL Message-B
8-Byte
8-Byte
CAL Message-C
8-Byte
8-Byte
8-Byte
CAL Message-D
8-Byte
8-Byte
8-Byte
CAL Message-A
8-Byte
8-Byte

FIG. 2


20
34
Core Data bus
XA CPU Core
22
Program bus
SFR bus
24
32K Bytes ROM/EPROM
43
41
DAT bus
26
1024 Bytes DATA RAM
27
UART 0
External Address/ Data Bus
MEMORY INTERFACE
XRAM
28
51
SPI
36
MMR bus
53
Timer 0 Timer 1
38
DMA Engine
32
30
54
Timer 2
40
MMRs
Rx
Tx
2.0B CAN/DLL Core
42
Watchdog Timer
77
55
Ports 0-3
61

FIG. 3

MMRs

MMR name	R/W?	Reset	Access	Address Offset	Description
Message Object Registers (n = 0 - 31)					
MnMIDH	R/W	x....x00b	Word only	$000n_4n_3n_2n_1n_00000b$ (n0h)	Message n Match ID High
MnMIDL	R/W	xxxxh	Word only	$000n_4n_3n_2n_1n_00010b$ (n2h)	Message n Match ID Low
MnMSKH	R/W	x....x000b	Word only	$000n_4n_3n_2n_1n_00100b$ (n4h)	Message n Mask High
MnMSKL	R/W	xxxxh	Word only	$000n_4n_3n_2n_1n_00110b$ (n6h)	Message n Mask Low
MnCTL	R/W	00000xxxb	Byte/Word	$000n_4n_3n_2n_1n_01000b$ (n8h)	Message n Control
MnBLR	R/W	xxxxh	Word only	$000n_4n_3n_2n_1n_01010b$ (nAh)	Message n Buffer Location
MnBSZ	R/W	00000xxxb	Byte/Word	$000n_4n_3n_2n_1n_01100b$ (nCh)	Message n Buffer Size
MnFCR	R/W	00xxxxxxb	Byte/Word	$000n_4n_3n_2n_1n_01110b$ (nEh)	Message n Fragmentation Count
CIC Registers					
MCPLL	R/C	0000h	Byte/Word	224h	Message Complete Low
MCPLH	R/C	0000h	Byte/Word	226h	Message Complete High
CANINTFLG	R/C	0000h	Byte/Word	228h	CAN Interrupt Flag Register
MCIR	RO	0000h	Byte/Word	229h	Message Complete Info Reg.
MEIR	RO	0000h	Byte/Word	22Ah	Message Error Info Register
FESTR	R/C	0000h	Byte/Word	22Ch	Frame Error Status Register
FEENR	R/W	0000h	Byte/Word	22Eh	Frame Error Enable Register
SCP/SPI Registers					
SPICFG	R/W	0000h	Byte/Word	260h	SCP/SPI Configuration
SPIDATA	R/W	00h	Byte/Word	262h	SCP/SPI Data
SPICS	R/W	00h	Byte/Word	263h	SCP/SPI Control and Status
CCB Registers					
CANCMR	R/W	01h	Byte/Word	270h	CAN Command Register
CANSTR	R/O	00h	Byte/Word	271h	CAN Status Register
CANBTR	R/W	00h	Byte/Word	272h	CAN Bus Timing Reg. (low)
-	R/W	00h	Byte/Word	273h	CAN Bus Timing Reg. (high)
TXERC	R/W*	00h	Byte/Word	274h	Tx Error Counter
RXERC	R/W*	00h	Byte/Word	275h	Rx Error Counter
EWLR	R/W	96h	Byte/Word	276h	Error Warning Limit Register
ECCR	RO	0000h	Byte/Word	278h	Error Code Capture Register
ALCR	RO	0000h	Byte/Word	27Ah	Arbitration Lost Capture Reg.
RTXDTM	WO	0000h	Byte/Word	27Ch	RTX Data Test Mode
GCTL	R/W	0000h	Byte/Word	27Eh	Global Control Byte
MIF Registers					
XRAMB	R/W	FEh	Byte/Word	290h	XRAM Base Address
MBXSR	R/W	FFh	Byte/Word	291h	Msg. Buff./XRAM Seg. Reg.
MIFBTRL	R/W	EFh	Byte/Word	292h	MIF Bus Timing Reg. Low
MIFBTRH	R/W	FFh	Byte/Word	293h	MIF Bus Timing Reg. High

Legend: R/W = Read & Write, RO = Read Only, WO = Write Only, R/C = Read & Clear, W* = Writable only during CAN Reset mode, x = undefined after reset.

FIG. 4


Data Memory Segment 0
00FFFFh
Off-Chip
4K Bytes
MMR Space
MMR Base Address
Off-Chip
512 Bytes
XRAM
XRAM Base Address
Off-Chip
0003FFh
Off-Chip Data Memory
(Scratch Pad)
000000h

FIG. 5


MMR Space
Offset FFFh
Offset 1FFh
512 Bytes Object Registers
Offset 000h

FIG. 6


Segment xy in Data Memory Space
xyFFFFh
Object n Buffer size
Object n Message Buffer
XRAM
512 Bytes
xy0000h
a23
a16
a15
a0
MBXSR[7:0]
MnBLR
a23
a16
a15
a8
a7
a0
MBXSR[7:0]
XRAMB[7:1] 0
00h

FIG. 7


Segment xy in Data Memory Space
xyFFFFh
XRAM 512 Bytes
Object n Buffer size
Object n Message Buffer
XRAM
xy0000h
a23
a16
a15
a0
MBXSR[7:0]
MnBLR
a23
a16
a15
a8
a7
a0
MBXSR[7:0]
XRAMB[7:1] 0
00h

FIG. 8

Object n Match ID Field (MnMIDH and MnMIDL)

Mid28 – Mid18	Mid17 – Mid10	Mid9 – Mid2	Mid1	Mid0	MIDE

Object n Mask Field (MnMSKH and MnMSKL)

Msk28 – Msk18	Msk17 – Msk10	Msk9 – Msk2	Msk1	Msk0

Screener ID Field (assembled from incoming bit-stream)

CAN ID.28 – CAN ID.18	Data Byte 1 [7:0]	Data Byte 2 [7:0]	x	x	IDE

FIG. 9

Object n Match ID Field (MnMIDH and MnMIDL)

Mid28 – Mid18	Mid17 – Mid10	Mid9 – Mid2	Mid1	Mid0	MIDE

Object n Mask Field (MnMSKH and MnMSKL)

Msk28 – Msk18	Msk17 – Msk10	Msk9 – Msk2	Msk1	Msk0

Screener ID Field (assembled from incoming bit-stream)

CAN ID.28 – CAN ID.0	IDE

FIG. 10


Byte count
Data Byte 2
Data Byte 3
...
Data Byte DLC
Data Byte 2 (next)
Data Byte 3 (next)
...
DIRECTION OF INCREASING ADDRESS

FIG. 11


FrameInfo
Data Byte 1
Data Byte 2
...
Data Byte DLC
FrameInfo (next)
Data Byte 1 (next)
Data Byte 2 (next)
...
DIRECTION OF INCREASING ADDRESS

FIG. 12

METHOD FOR WRITING BACK MESSAGE ID INFORMATION TO A MATCH ID REGISTER AND A CAN MICROCONTROLLER THAT IMPLEMENTS THIS METHOD

This application claims the full benefit and priority of U.S. Provisional Application Ser. No. 60/154,022, filed on Sep. 15, 1999, the disclosure of which is fully incorporated herein for all purposes.

BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION

The present invention relates generally to the field of data communications, and more particularly, to the field of serial communications bus controllers and microcontrollers that incorporate the same.

CAN (Control Area Network) is an industry-standard, two-wire serial communications bus that is widely used in automotive and industrial control applications, as well as in medical devices, avionics, office automation equipment, consumer appliances, and many other products and applications. CAN controllers are currently available either as stand-alone devices adapted to interface with a microcontroller or as circuitry integrated into or modules embedded in a microcontroller chip. Since 1986, CAN users (software programmers) have developed numerous high-level CAN Application Layers (CALs) which extend the capabilities of the CAN while employing the CAN physical layer and the CAN frame format, and adhering to the CAN specification. CALs have heretofore been implemented primarily in software, with very little hardware CAL support. Consequently, CALs have heretofore required a great deal of host CPU intervention, thereby increasing the processing overhead and diminishing the performance of the host CPU.

Thus, there is a need in the art for a CAN hardware implementation of CAL functions normally implemented in software in order to offload these tasks from the host CPU to the CAN hardware, thereby enabling a great savings in host CPU processing resources and a commensurate improvement in host CPU performance. One of the most demanding and CPU resource-intensive CAL functions is message management, which entails the handling, storage, and processing of incoming CAL/CAN messages received over the CAN serial communications bus and/or outgoing CAL/CAN messages transmitted over the CAN serial communications bus. CAL protocols, such as DeviceNet, CANopen, and OSEK, deliver long messages distributed over many CAN frames, which methodology is sometimes referred to as "fragmented" or "segmented" messaging. The process of assembling such fragmented, multi-frame messages has heretofore required a great deal of host CPU intervention. In particular, CAL software running on the host CPU actively monitors and manages the buffering and processing of the message data, in order to facilitate the assembly of the message fragments or segments into complete messages.

Based on the above and foregoing, it can be appreciated that there presently exists a need in the art for a hardware implementation of CAL functions normally implemented in software in order to offload these tasks from the host CPU, thereby enabling a great savings in host CPU processing resources and a commensurate improvement in host CPU performance.

The assignee of the present invention has recently developed a new microcontroller product, designated "XA-C3", that fulfills this need in the art. The XA-C3 is the newest

member of the Philips XA (Extended Architecture) family of high performance 16-bit single-chip microcontrollers. It is believed that the XA-C3 is the first chip that features hardware CAL support.

The XA-C3 is a CMOS 16-bit CAL/CAN 2.0B microcontroller that incorporates a number of different inventions, including the present invention. These inventions include novel techniques and hardware for filtering, buffering, handling, and processing CAL/CAN messages, including the automatic assembly of multi-frame fragmented messages with minimal CPU intervention, as well as for managing the storage and retrieval of the message data, and the memory resources utilized therefor.

The present invention relates to a method for writing back message ID information of a received, non-fragmented CAN frame, to a match ID register(s), to enable the message ID information to be obtained by software, including bits which were masked during a "match and mask" acceptance filtering process. This technique enables the software to unambiguously identify the received CAN message frame, without having to waste valuable message buffer storage area by storing this message ID information along with the actual data bytes of the received CAN frame in the message buffer storage area.

SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

The present invention encompasses a method for acceptance filtering incoming CAN frames, in a CAN device that provides a plurality of message objects each of which has an associated message buffer, at least one associated match ID register, and at least one associated mask register. The method includes the steps of extracting a multi-bit screener ID field from a received CAN frame, and then comparing the extracted screener ID field to a respective, user-specified multi-bit match ID field stored in the at least one match ID register associated with each enabled one of the message objects designated to be a receive message object, wherein the at least one mask register associated with each enabled message object designated to be a receive message object stores a user-specified, multi-bit mask field that masks selected bits of the corresponding match ID field, the masked bits being excluded from the comparisons. If a match is found as a result of the comparing step, data bytes of the received CAN frame are stored in the message buffer associated with the matching message object, and the extracted screener ID field is written into the at least one match ID register associated with the matching message object to replace the match ID field associated with the matching message object. The extracted screener ID field is not stored in the message buffer associated with the matching message object.

In a preferred embodiment, each multi-bit mask field has bit positions corresponding to all bit positions of the corresponding match ID field except for a match IDE bit position. The received CAN frame can be either a Standard CAN frame or an Extended CAN frame. In the case of a Standard CAN frame, the extracted screener ID field is 28 bits, consisting of 11 CAN ID bits extracted from a header portion of the received CAN frame, plus 8 bits from a first data byte of the received CAN frame, plus 8 bits from a second data byte of the received CAN frame, plus an IDE bit of the received CAN frame. In the case of an Extended CAN frame, the extracted screener ID field is 30 bits, consisting of 29 CAN ID bits extracted from a header portion of the received CAN frame, plus an IDE bit of the received CAN frame.

The present invention, in another of its aspects, encompasses a CAN device, e.g., a CAN microcontroller, that implements the above-described method.

BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

These and various other aspects, features, and advantages of the present invention will be readily understood with reference to the following detailed description of the invention read in conjunction with the accompanying drawings, in which:

FIG. **1** is a diagram illustrating the format of a Standard CAN Frame and the format of an Extended CAN Frame;

FIG. **2** is a diagram illustrating the interleaving of CAN Data Frames of different, unrelated messages;

FIG. **3** is a high-level, functional block diagram of the XA-C3 microcontroller;

FIG. **4** is a table listing all of the Memory Mapped Registers (MMRs) provided by the XA-C3 microcontroller;

FIG. **5** is a diagram illustrating the mapping of the overall data memory space of the XA-C3 microcontroller;

FIG. **6** is a diagram illustrating the MMR space contained within the overall data memory space of the XA-C3 microcontroller;

FIG. **7** is a diagram illustrating formation of the base address of the on-chip XRAM of the XA-C3 microcontroller, with an object n message buffer mapped into off-chip data memory;

FIG. **8** is a diagram illustrating formation of the base address of the on-chip XRAM of the XA-C3 microcontroller, with an object n message buffer mapped into the on-chip XRAM;

FIG. **9** is a diagram illustrating the Screener ID Field for a Standard CAN Frame, and corresponding Match ID and Mask Fields;

FIG. **10** is a diagram illustrating the Screener ID Field for an Extended CAN Frame, and corresponding Match ID and Mask Fields;

FIG. **11** is a diagram illustrating the message storage format for fragmented CAL messages; and,

FIG. **12** is a diagram illustrating the message storage format for fragmented CAN messages.

DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE PREFERRED EMBODIMENT

The present invention is described below in the context of a particular implementation thereof, i.e., in the context of the XA-C3 microcontroller manufactured by Philips Semiconductors. Of course, it should be clearly understood that the present invention is not limited to this particular implementation, as any one or more of the various aspects and features of the present invention disclosed herein can be utilized either individually or any combination thereof, and in any desired application, e.g., in a stand-alone CAN controller device or as part of any other microcontroller or system.

The following terms used herein in the context of describing the preferred embodiment of the present invention (i.e., the XA-C3 microcontroller) are defined as follows:

Standard CAN Frame: The format of a Standard CAN Frame is depicted in FIG. **1**.

Extended CAN Frame: The format of an Extended CAN Frame is also depicted in FIG. **1**.

Acceptance Filtering: The process a CAN device implements in order to determine if a CAN frame should be accepted or ignored and, if accepted, to store that frame in a pre-assigned Message Object.

Message Object: A Receive RAM buffer of pre-specified size (up to 256 bytes for CAL messages) and associated with a particular Acceptance Filter or, a Transmit RAM buffer which the User preloads with all necessary data to transmit a complete CAN Data Frame. A Message Object can be considered to be a communication channel over which a complete message, or a succession of messages, can be transmitted.

CAN Arbitration ID: An 11-bit (Standard CAN 2.0 Frame) or 29-bit (Extended CAN 2.0B Frame) identifier field placed in the CAN Frame Header. This ID field is used to arbitrate Frame access to the CAN bus. Also used in Acceptance Filtering for CAN Frame reception and Transmit Pre-Arbitration.

Screener ID: A 30-bit field extracted from the incoming message which is then used in Acceptance Filtering. The Screener ID includes the CAN Arbitration ID and the IDE bit, and can include up to 2 Data Bytes. These 30 extracted bits are the information qualified by Acceptance Filtering.

Match ID: A 30-bit field pre-specified by the user to which the incoming Screener ID is compared. Individual Match IDs for each of 32 Message Objects are programmed by the user into designated Memory Mapped Registers (MMRs).

Mask: A 29-bit field pre-specified by the user which can override (Mask) a Match ID comparison at any particular bit (or, combination of bits) in an Acceptance Filter. Individual Masks, one for each Message Object, are programmed by the user in designated MMRs. Individual Mask patterns assure that single Receive Objects can Screen for multiple acknowledged CAL/CAN Frames and thus minimize the number of Receive Objects that must be dedicated to such lower priority Frames. This ability to Mask individual Message Objects is an important new CAL feature.

CAL: CAN Application Layer. A generic term for any high-level protocol which extends the capabilities of CAN while employing the CAN physical layer and the CAN frame format, and which adheres to the CAN specification. Among other things, CALs permit transmission of Messages which exceed the 8 byte data limit inherent to CAN Frames. This is accomplished by dividing each message into multiple packets, with each packet being transmitted as a single CAN Frame consisting of a maximum of 8 data bytes. Such messages are commonly referred to as "segmented" or "fragmented" messages. The individual CAN Frames constituting a complete fragmented message are not typically transmitted in a contiguous fashion, but rather, the individual CAN Frames of different, unrelated messages are interleaved on the CAN bus, as is illustrated in FIG. **2**

Fragmented Message: A lengthy message (in excess of 8 bytes) divided into data packets and transmitted using a sequence of individual CAN Frames. The specific ways that sequences of CAN Frames construct these lengthy messages is defined within the context of a specific CAL. The XA-C3 microcontroller automatically re-assembles these packets into the original, lengthy message in hardware and reports (via an interrupt) when the completed (re-assembled) message is available as an associated Receive Message Object.

Message Buffer: A block of locations in XA Data memory where incoming (received) messages are stored or where outgoing (transmit) messages are staged.

MMR: Memory Mapped Register. An on-chip command/control/status register whose address is mapped into XA

Data memory space and is accessed as Data memory by the XA processor. With the XA-C3 microcontroller, a set of eight dedicated MMRs are associated with each Message Object. Additionally, there are several MMRs whose bits control global parameters that apply to all Message Objects.

With reference now to FIG. **3**, there can be seen a high-level block diagram of the XA-C3 microcontroller **20**. The XA-C3 microcontroller **20** includes the following functional blocks that are fabricated on a single integrated circuit (IC) chip packaged in a 44-pin PLCC or a 44-pin LQFP package:

- an XA CPU Core **22**, that is currently implemented as a 16-bit fully static CPU with 24-bit program and data address range, that is upwardly compatible with the 80C51 architecture, and that has an operating frequency of up to 30 MHz;
- a program or code memory **24** that is currently implemented as a 32K ROM/EPROM, and that is bi-directionally coupled to the XA CPU Core **22** via an internal Program bus **25**. A map of the code memory space is depicted in FIG. **4**;
- a Data RAM **26** (internal or scratch pad data memory) that is currently implemented as a 1024 Byte portion of the overall XA-C3 data memory space, and that is bi-directionally coupled to the XA CPU Core **22** via an internal DATA bus **27**;
- an on-chip message buffer RAM or XRAM **28** that is currently implemented as a 512 Byte portion of the overall XA-C3 data memory space which may contain part or all of the CAN/CAL (Transmit & Receive Object) message buffers;
- a Memory Interface (MIF) unit **30** that provides interfaces to generic memory devices such as SRAM, DRAM, flash, ROM, and EPROM memory devices via an external address/data bus **32**, via an internal Core Data bus **34**, and via an internal MMR bus **36**;
- a DMA engine **38** that provides 32 CAL DMA Channels;
- a plurality of on-chip Memory Mapped Registers (MMRs) **40** that are mapped to the overall XA-C3 data memory space—a 4K Byte portion of the overall XA-C3 data memory space is reserved for MMRs. These MMRs include 32 (Message) Object or Address Pointers and 32 ID Screeners or Match IDs, corresponding to the 32 CAL Message Objects. A complete listing of all MMRs is provided in the Table depicted in FIG. **5**;
- a 2.0B CAN/DLL Core **42** that is the CAN Controller Core from the Philips SJA1000 CAN (2.0A/B) Data Link Layer (CDLL) device (hereinafter referred to as the "CAN Core Block" (CCB)); and,
- an array of standard microcontroller peripherals that are bi-directionally coupled to the XA CPU Core **22** via a Special Function Register (SFR) bus **43**. These standard microcontroller peripherals include Universal Asynchronous Receiver Transmitter (UART) **49**, an SPI serial interface (port) **51**, three standard timers/counters with toggle output capability, namely, Timer **0** & Timer **1** included in Timer block **53**, and Timer **2** included in Timer block **54**, a Watchdog Timer **55**, and four 8-bit I/O ports, namely, Ports 0–3 included in block **61**, each of which has 4 programmable output configurations.

The DMA engine **38**, the MMRs **40**, and the CCB **42** can collectively be considered to constitute a CAN/CAL module **77**, and will be referred to as such at various times throughout the following description. Further, the particular logic elements within the CAN/CAL module **77** that perform "message management" and "message handling" functions will sometimes be referred to as the "message management engine" and the "message handler", respectively, at various times throughout the following description. Other nomenclature will be defined as it introduced throughout the following description.

As previously mentioned, the XA-C3 microcontroller **20** automatically implements, in hardware, many message management and other functions that were previously only implemented in software running on the host CPU (or not implemented at all), including transparent, automatic re-assembly of up to 32 concurrent, interleaved, multi-frame, fragmented CAL messages. For each application that is installed to run on the host CPU (i.e., the XA CPU Core **22**), the user (software programmer) must set-up the hardware for performing these functions by programming certain ones of the MMRs and SFRs in the manner set forth in the XA-C3 Functional Specification and XA-C3 CAN Transport Layer Controller User Manual. The register programming procedures that are most relevant to an understanding of the present invention are described below, followed by a description of the various message management and other functions that are automatically performed by the CAL/CAN module **77** during operation of the XA-C3 microcontroller **20** after it has been properly set-up by the user. Following these sections, a more detailed description of the particular invention to which this application is directed is provided.

Set-up/Programming Procedures

As an initial matter, the user must map the overall XA-C3 data memory space, as illustrated in FIG. **5**. In particular, subject to certain constraints, the user must specify the starting or base address of the XRAM **28** and the starting or base address of the MMRs **40**. The base address of the MMRs **40** can be specified by appropriately programming Special Function Registers (SFRs) MRBL and MRBH. The base address of the XRAM **28** can be specified by appropriately programming the MMRs designated MBXSR and XRAMB (see FIG. **4**).

The user can place the 4KByte space reserved for MMRs **40** anywhere within the entire 16 Mbyte data memory space supported by the XA architecture, other than at the very bottom of the memory space (i.e., the first 1 KByte portion, starting address of 000000h), where it would conflict with the on-chip Data RAM **26** that serves as the internal or scratch-pad memory. The 4 KBytes of MMR space will always start at a 4K boundary. The reset values for MRBH and MRBL are 0Fh and F0h, respectively. Therefore, after a reset, the MMR space is mapped to the uppermost 4K Bytes of Data Segment 0Fh, but access to the MMRs **40** is disabled. The first 512 Bytes (offset 000h–1FFh) of MMR space are the Message Object Registers (eight per Message Object) for objects n=0–31, as is shown in FIG. **6**.

The base address of the XRAM **28** is determined by the contents of the MMRs designated MBXSR and XRAMB, as is shown in FIGS. **7** and **8**. As previously mentioned, the 512 Byte XRAM **28** is where some (or all) of the 32 (Rx/Tx) message buffers (corresponding to Message Objects n=0–31) reside. The message buffers can be extended off-chip to a maximum of 8 KBytes. This off-chip expansion capability can accommodate up to thirty-two, 256-Byte message buffers. Since the uppermost 8 bits of all message buffer addresses are formed by the contents of the MBXSR register, the XRAM **28** and all 32 message buffers must reside in the same 64K Byte data memory segment. Since

the XA-C3 microcontroller **20** only provides address lines **A0–A19** for accessing external memory, all external memory addresses must be within the lowest 1 MByte of address space. Therefore, if there is external memory in the system into which any of the 32 message buffers will be mapped, then all 32 message buffers and the XRAM **28** must also be mapped entirely into that same 64K Byte segment, which must be below the 1 MByte address limit.

After the memory space has been mapped, the user can set-up or define up to 32 separate Message Objects, each of which can be either a Transmit (Tx) or a Receive (Rx) Message Object. A Rx Message Object can be associated either with a unique CAN ID, or with a set of CAN IDs which share certain ID bit fields. As previously mentioned, each Message Object has its own reserved block of data memory space (up to 256 Bytes), which is referred to as that Message Object's message buffer. As will be seen, both the size and the base address of each Message Object's message buffer is programmable.

As previously mentioned, each Message Object is associated with a set of eight MMRs **40** dedicated to that Message Object. Some of these registers function differently for Tx Message Objects than they do for Rx Message Objects. These eight MMRs **40** are designated "Message Object Registers" (see FIG. **4**).

The names of these eight MMRs **40** are:

1.	MnMIDH	Message n Match ID High
2.	MnMIDL	Message n Match ID Low
3.	MnMSKH	Message n Mask High
4.	MnMSKL	Message n Mask Low
5.	MnCTL	Message n Control
6.	MnBLR	Message n Buffer Location Register
7.	MnBSZ	Message n Buffer Size
8.	MnFCR	Message n Fragment Count Register

where n ranges from 0 to 31 (i.e., corresponding to 32 independent Message Objects).

In general, the user defines or sets up a Message Object by configuring (programming) some or all of the eight MMRs dedicated to that Message Object, as will be described below. Additionally, as will be described below, the user must configure (program) the global GCTL register, whose bits control global parameters that apply to all Message Objects.

In particular, the user can specify the Match ID value for each Message Object to be compared against the Screener IDs extracted from incoming CAN Frames for Acceptance Filtering. The Match ID value for each Message Object n is specified in the MnMIDH and MnMIDL registers associated with that Message Object n. The user can mask any Screener ID bits which are not intended to be used in Acceptance Filtering, on an object-by-object basis, by writing a logic '1' in the desired (to-be-masked) bit position(s) in the appropriate MnMSKH and/or MnMSKL registers associated with each particular Message Object n. The user is responsible, on set-up, for assigning a unique message buffer location for each Message Object n. In particular, the user can specify the least significant 16 bits of the base address of the message buffer for each particular Message Object n by programming the MnBLR register associated with that Message Object n. The upper 8 bits of the 24-bit address, for all Message Objects, are specified by the contents of the MBXSR register, as previously discussed, so that the message buffers for all Message Objects reside within the same 64 KByte memory segment. The user is also responsible, on set-up, for specifying the size of the message buffer for each Message Object n. In particular, the user can specify the size of the message buffer for each particular Message Object n by programming the MnBSZ register associated with that Message Object n. The top location of the message buffer for each Message Object n is determined by the size of that message buffer as specified in the corresponding MnBSZ register.

The user can configure (program) the MnCTL register associated with each particular Message Object n in order to enable or disable that Message Object n, in order to define or designate that Message Object n as a Tx or Rx Message Object; in order to enable or disable automatic hardware assembly of fragmented Rx messages (i.e., automatic fragmented message handling) for that Message Object n; in order to enable or disable automatic generation of a Message-Complete Interrupt for that Message Object n; and, in order to enable or not enable that Message Object n for Remote Transmit Request (RTR) handling. In CANopen and OSEK systems, the user must also initialize the MnFCR register associated with each Message Object n.

As previously mentioned, on set-up, the user must configure (program) the global GCTL register, whose bits control global parameters that apply to all Message Objects. In particular, the user can configure (program) the GCTL register in order to specify the high-level CAL protocol (if any) being used (e.g., DeviceNet, CANopen, or OSEK); in order to enable or disable automatic acknowledgment of CANopen Frames (CANopen auto-acknowledge); and, in order to specify which of two transmit (Tx) pre-arbitration schemes/policies is to be utilized (i.e., either Tx pre-arbitration based on CAN ID, with the object number being used as a secondary tie-breaker, or Tx pre-arbitration based on object number only).

Receive Message Objects and the Receive Process

During reception (i.e., when an incoming CAN Frame is being received by the XA-C3 microcontroller **20**), the CAN/CAL module **77** will store the incoming CAN Frame in a temporary (13-Byte) buffer, and determine whether a complete, error-free CAN frame has been successfully received. If it is determined that a complete, error-free CAN Frame has been successfully received, then the CAN/CAL module **77** will initiate Acceptance Filtering in order to determine whether to accept and store that CAN Frame, or to ignore/discard that CAN Frame.

Acceptance Filtering

In general, because the XA-C3 microcontroller **20** provides the user with the ability to program separate Match ID and Mask fields for each of the 32 independent Message Objects, on an object-by-object basis, as described previously, the Acceptance Filtering process performed by the XA-C3 microcontroller **20** can be characterized as a "match and mask" technique. The basic objective of this Acceptance Filtering process is to determine whether a Screener ID field of the received CAN Frame (excluding the "don't care" bits masked by the Mask field for each Message Object) matches the Match ID of any enabled one of the 32 Message Objects that has been designated a Receive Message Object. If there is a match between the received CAN Frame and more than one Message Object, then the received CAN Frame will be deemed to have matched the Message Object with the lowest object number (n).

Acceptance Filtering is performed as follows by the XA-C3 microcontroller **20**:

(1) A Screener ID field is extracted from the incoming (received) CAN Frame. In this regard, the Screener ID field that is assembled from the incoming bit stream is different for Standard and Extended CAN Frames. In

particular, as is illustrated in FIG. **9**, the Screener ID field for a Standard CAN Frame is 28 bits, consisting of 11 CAN ID bits extracted from the header of the received CAN Frame+2×8 (16) bits from the first and second data bytes (Data Byte **1** and Data Byte **2**) of the received CAN Frame+the IDE bit. Thus, the user is required to set the Msk**1** and Msk**0** bits in the Mask Field (MnMSKL register) for Standard CAN Frame Message Objects, i.e., to "don't care". In addition, in many applications based on Standard CAN Frames, either Data Byte **1**, Data Byte **2**, or both do not participate in Acceptance Filtering. In those applications, the user must also mask out the unused Data Byte(s). The IDE bit is not maskable. As is illustrated in FIG. **10**, the Screener ID field for an Extended CAN Frame is 30 bits, consisting of 29 CAN ID bits extracted from the header of the incoming CAN Frame+the IDE bit. Again, the IDE bit is not maskable.

(2) The assembled Screener ID field of the received CAN Frame is then sequentially compared to the corresponding Match ID values specified in the MnMIDH and MnMIDL registers for all currently enabled Receive Message Objects. Of course, any bits in the Screener ID field that are masked by a particular Message Object are not included in the comparison. That is, if there is a '1' in a bit position of the Mask field specified in the MnMSKH and Mn MSKL registers for a particular Message Object, then the corresponding bit position in the Match ID field for that particular Message Object becomes a "don't care", i.e., always yields a match with the corresponding bit of the Screener ID of the received CAN Frame.

(3) If the above comparison process yields a match with more than one Message Object, then the received CAN Frame will be deemed to have matched the Message Object having the lowest object number (n).

Message Storage:

Each incoming (received) CAN Frame that passes Acceptance Filtering, will be automatically stored, via the DMA engine **38**, into the message buffer for the Receive Message Object that particular CAN Frame was found to have matched. In an exemplary implementation, the message buffers for all Message Objects are contained in the XRAM **28**.

Message Assembly

In general, the DMA engine **38** will transfer each accepted CAN Frame from the 13-byte pre-buffer to the appropriate message buffer (e.g., in the XRAM 28), one word at a time, starting from the address pointed to by the contents of the MBXSR and MnBLR registers. Every time the DMA engine **38** transfers a byte or a word, it has to request the bus. In this regard, the MIF unit **30** arbitrates between accesses from the XA CPU Core **22** and from the DMA engine **38**. In general, bus arbitration is done on an "alternate" policy. After a DMA bus access, the XA CPU Core **22** will be granted bus access, if requested. After an XA CPU bus access, the DMA engine **38** will be granted bus access, if requested. (However, a burst access by the XA CPU Core **22** cannot be interrupted by a DMA bus access).

Once bus access is granted by the MIF unit **30**, the DMA engine **38** will write data from the 13-byte pre-buffer to the appropriate message buffer location. The DMA engine **38** will keep requesting the bus, writing message data sequentially to the appropriate message buffer location until the whole accepted CAN Frame is transferred. After the DMA engine **38** has successfully transferred an accepted CAN Frame to the appropriate message buffer location, the contents of the message buffer will depend upon whether the message that the CAN Frame belongs to is a non-fragmented (single frame) message or a fragmented message. Each case is described below:

Non-Fragmented Message Assembly

For Message Objects that have been set up with automatic fragmented message handling disabled (not enabled —i.e., the FRAG bit in the MnCTL register for that Message Object is set to '0'), the complete CAN ID of the accepted CAN Frame (which is either 11 or 29 bits, depending on whether the accepted CAN Frame is a Standard or Extended CAN Frame) is written into the MnMIDH and MnMIDL registers associated with the Message Object that has been deemed to constitute a match, once the DMA engine **38** has successfully transferred the accepted CAN Frame to the message buffer associated with that Message Object. This will permit the user application to see the exact CAN ID which resulted in the match, even if a portion of the CAN ID was masked for Acceptance Filtering. As a result of this mechanism, the contents of the MnMIDH and MnMIDL registers can change every time an incoming CAN Frame is accepted. Since the incoming CAN Frame must pass through the Acceptance Filter before it can be accepted, only the bits that are masked out will change. Therefore, the criteria for match and mask Acceptance Filtering will not change as a result of the contents of the MnMIDH and MnMIDL registers being changed in response to an accepted incoming CAN Frame being transferred to the appropriate message buffer.

Fragmented Message Assembly:

For Message Objects that have been set up with automatic fragmented message handling enabled (i.e., with the FRAG bit in the MnCTL register for that Message Object set to '1'), masking of the 11/29 bit CAN ID field is disallowed. As such, the CAN ID of the accepted CAN Frame is known unambiguously, and is contained in the MnMIDH and MnMIDL registers associated with the Message Object that has been deemed to constitute a match. Therefore, there is no need to write the CAN ID of the accepted CAN Frame into the MnMIDH and MnMIDL registers associated with the Message Object that has been deemed to constitute a match.

As subsequent CAN Frames of a fragmented message are received, the new data bytes are appended to the end of the previously received and stored data bytes. This process continues until a complete multi-frame message has been received and stored in the appropriate message buffer.

Under CAL protocols DeviceNet, CANopen, and OSEK, if a Message Object is an enabled Receive Message Object, and its associated MnCTL register has its FRAG bit set to '1' (i.e., automatic fragmented message assembly is enabled for that particular Receive Message Object), then the first data byte (Data Byte **1**) of each received CAN Frame that matches that particular Receive Message Object will be used to encode fragmentation information only, and thus, will not be stored in the message buffer for that particular Receive Message Object. Thus, message storage for such "FRAG-enabled" Receive Message Objects will start with the second data byte (Data Byte **2**) and proceed in the previously-described manner until a complete multi-frame message has been received and stored in the appropriate message buffer. This message storage format is illustrated in FIG. **11**. The message handler hardware will use the fragmentation information contained in Data Byte **1** of each CAN Frame to facilitate this process.

Under the CAN protocol, if a Message Object is an enabled Receive Message Object, and its associated MnCTL register has its FRAG bit set to '1' (i.e., automatic fragmented message assembly is enabled for that particular Receive Message Object), then the CAN Frames that match

that particular Receive Message Object will be stored sequentially in the message buffer for that particular Receive Message Object using the format shown in FIG. **12**.

When writing message data into a message buffer associated with a Message Object n, the DMA engine **38** will generate addresses automatically starting from the base address of that message buffer (as specified in the MnBLR register associated with that Message Object n). Since the size of that message buffer is specified in the MBSZ register associated with that Message Object n, the DMA engine **38** can determined when it has reached the top location of that message buffer. If the DMA engine **38** determines that it has reached the top location of that message buffer, and that the message being written into that message buffer has not been completely transferred yet, the DMA engine **38** will wrap around by generating addresses starting from the base address of that message buffer again. Some time before this happens, a warning interrupt will be generated so that the user application can take the necessary action to prevent data loss.

The message handler will keep track of the current address location of the message buffer being written to by the DMA engine **38**, and the number of bytes of each CAL message as it is being assembled in the designated message buffer. After an "End of Message" for a CAL message is decoded, the message handler will finish moving the complete CAL message and the Byte Count into the designated message buffer via the DMA engine **38**, and then generate an interrupt to the XA CPU Core **22** indicating that a complete message has been received.

Since Data Byte **1** of each CAN Frame contains the fragmentation information, it will never be stored in the designated message buffer for that CAN Frame. Thus, up to seven data bytes of each CAN Frame will be stored. After the entire message has been stored, the designated message buffer will contain all of the actual informational data bytes received (exclusive of fragmentation information bytes) plus the Byte Count at location 00 which will contain the total number of informational data bytes stored.

It is noted that there are several specific user set-up/programming procedures that must be followed when invoking automatic hardware assembly of fragmented OSEK and CANopen messages. These and other particulars can be found in the XA-C3 CAN Transport Layer Controller User Manual that is part of the parent Provisional Application Serial No. 60/154,022, the disclosure of which has been fully incorporated herein for all purposes.

Transmit Message Objects and the Transmit Process

In order to transmit a message, the XA application program must first assemble the complete message and store it in the designated message buffer for the appropriate Transmit Message Object n. The message header (CAN ID and Frame Information) must be written into the MnMIDH, MnMIDL, and MnMSKH registers associated with that Transmit Message Object n. After these steps are completed, the XA application is ready to transmit the message. To initiate a transmission, the object enable bit (OBJ_EN bit) of the MnCTL register associated with that Transmit Message Object n must be set, except when transmitting an Auto-Acknowledge Frame in CANopen. This will allow this ready-to-transmit message to participate in the pre-arbitration process. In this connection, if more than one message is ready to be transmitted (i.e., if more than one Transmit Message Object is enabled), a Tx Pre-Arbitration process will be performed to determine which enabled Transmit Message Object will be selected for transmission. There are two Tx Pre-Arbitration policies which the user can choose between by setting or clearing the Pre_Arb bit in the GCTL register.

After a Tx Message Complete interrupt is generated in response to a determination being made by the message handler that a completed message has been successfully transmitted, the Tx Pre-Arbitration process is "reset", and begins again. Also, if the "winning" Transmit Message Object subsequently loses arbitration on the CAN bus, the Tx Pre-Arbitration process gets reset and begins again. If there is only one Transmit Message Object whose OBJ_EN bit is set, it will be selected regardless of the Tx Pre-Arbitration policy selected.

Once an enabled Transmit Message Object has been selected for transmission, the DMA engine **38** will begin retrieving the transmit message data from the message buffer associated with that Transmit Message Object, and will begin transferring the retrieved transmit message data to the CCB 42 for transmission. The same DMA engine and address pointer logic is used for message retrieval of transmit messages as is used for message storage of receive messages, as described previously. Further, message buffer location and size information is specified in the same way, as described previously. In short, when a transmit message is retrieved, it will be written by the DMA engine **38** to the CCB **42** sequentially. During this process, the DMA engine **38** will keep requesting the bus; when bus access is granted, the DMA engine **38** will sequentially read the transmit message data from the location in the message buffer currently pointed to by the address pointer logic; and, the DMA engine **38** will sequentially write the retrieved transmit message data to the CCB **42**. It is noted that when preparing a message for transmission, the user application must not include the CAN ID and Frame Information fields in the transmit message data written into the designated message buffer, since the Transmit (Tx) logic will retrieve this information directly from the appropriate MnMIDH, MnMIDL, and MnMSKH registers.

The XA-C3 microcontroller **20** does not handle the transmission of fragmented messages in hardware. It is the user's responsibility to write each CAN Frame of a fragmented message to the appropriate message buffer, enable the associated Transmit Message Object for transmission, and wait for a completion before writing the next CAN Frame of that fragmented message to the appropriate message buffer. The user application must therefore transmit multiple CAN Frames one at a time until the whole multi-frame, fragmented transmit message is successfully transmitted. However, by using multiple Transmit Message Objects whose object numbers increase sequentially, and whose CAN IDs have been configured identically, several CAN Frames of a fragmented transmit message can be queued up and enabled, and then transmitted in order.

To avoid data corruption when transmitting messages, there are three possible approaches:

1. If the Tx Message Complete interrupt is enabled for the transmit message, the user application would write the next transmit message to the designated transmit message buffer upon receipt of the Tx Message Complete interrupt. Once the interrupt flag is set, it is known for certain that the pending transmit message has already been transmitted.
2. Wait until the OBJ_EN bit of the MnCTL register of the associated Transmit Message Object clears before writing to the associated transmit message buffer. This can be accomplished by polling the OBJ_EN bit of the MnCTL register of the associated Transmit Message Object.

3. Clear the OBJ_EN bit of the MnCTL register of the associated Transmit Message Object while that Transmit Message Object is still in Tx Pre-Arbitration.

In the first two cases above, the pending transmit message will be transmitted completely before the next transmit message gets transmitted. For the third case above, the transmit message will not be transmitted. Instead, a transmit message with new content will enter Tx Pre-Arbitration.

There is an additional mechanism that prevents corruption of a message that is being transmitted. In particular, if a transmission is ongoing for a Transmit Message Object, the user will be prevented from clearing the OBJ_EN bit in the MnCTL register associated with that particular Transmit Message Object.

CAN/CAL Related Interrupts

The CAN/CAL module **77** of the XA-C3 microcontroller **20** is presently configured to generate the following five different Event interrupts to the XA CPU Core **22**:

1. Rx Message Complete
2. Tx Message Complete
3. Rx Buffer Full
4. Message Error
5. Frame Error

For single-frame messages, the "Message Complete" condition occurs at the end of the single frame. For multi-frame (fragmented) messages, the "Message Complete" condition occurs after the last frame is received and stored. Since the XA-C3 microcontroller **20** hardware does not recognize or handle fragmentation for transmit messages, the Tx Message Complete condition will always be generated at the end of each successfully transmitted frame.

As previously mentioned, there is a control bit associated with each Message Object indicating whether a Message Complete condition should generate an interrupt, or just set a "Message Complete Status Flag" (for polling) without generating an interrupt. This is the INT_EN bit in the MnCTL register associated with each Message Object n.

There are two 16-bit MMRs **40**, MCPLH and MCPLL, which contain the Message Complete Status Flags for all 32 Message Objects. When a Message Complete (Tx or Rx) condition is detected for a particular Message Object, the corresponding bit in the MCPLH or MCPLL register will be set. This will occur regardless of whether the INT_EN bit is set for that particular Message Object (in its associated MnCTL register), or whether Message Complete Status Flags have already been set for any other Message Objects.

In addition to these 32 Message Complete Status Flags, there is a Tx Message Complete Interrupt Flag and an Rx Message Complete Interrupt Flag, corresponding to bits [1] and [0], respectively, of an MMR **40** designated CANINTFLG, which will generate the actual Event interrupt requests to the XA CPU Core **22**. When an End-of-Message condition occurs, at the same moment that the Message Complete Status Flag is set, the appropriate Tx or Rx Message Complete Interrupt flip-flop will be set provided that INT_EN=1 for the associated Message Object, and provided that the interrupt is not already set and pending.

Further details regarding the generation of interrupts and the associated registers can be found in the XA-C3 Functional Specification and in the XA-C3 CAN Transport Layer Controller User Manual, both of which are part of the parent Provisional Application Serial No. 60/154,022, the disclosure of which has been fully incorporated herein for all purposes.

The Present Invention

As was discussed hereinabove in the section encaptioned "Acceptance Filtering", the XA-C3 microcontroller **20** employs a "match and mask" technique to perform receive Acceptance Filtering. In short, this technique allows the user to mask any selected bits of the Screener ID of an incoming, non-fragmented CAN Frame, except for the IDE bit, on an object-by-object basis, and to specify a selected Match ID for each associated receive Message Object. Thus, the user is afforded the unique capability to perform user-specified, object-specific "match and mask" Acceptance Filtering, for each of 32 separate and independent Message Objects.

However, a problem arises with this "match and mask" technique, in that the Screener ID field extracted from the incoming CAN Frame for comparison with the Match ID field of all enabled Receive Message Objects, must be stored somewhere in order to enable the software to unambiguously determine the exact ID of the CAN Frame that resulted in a match, including those bits which were "masked" for comparison purposes.

The most straightforward approach would be to store the extracted Screener ID of the incoming CAN Frame that resulted in the match, in the message buffer associated with the matching Message Object, along with the actual data bytes of that CAN Frame. However, this would result in the undesirable waste of an appreciable amount of valuable storage area (memory resources). It would also result in a confusing, inconsistent message buffer format for basic, single-frame CAN messages versus multi-frame, fragmented CAN messages for which the ID field is not stored, and no masking is allowed.

With reference now to FIGS. **4**, **9** and **10**, in accordance with the present invention, implemented in the XA-C3 microcontroller **20**, this problem is solved by writing the extracted Screener ID Field of the incoming CAN Frame that results in a match back into the corresponding Match ID Field of the matching Message Object, i.e., the extracted Screener ID Field is written into the corresponding bit positions of the MnMIDH and MnMIDL registers associated with the matching Message Object, thereby replacing the previously-stored Match ID value. This will permit the user application to unambiguously determine the exact CAN ID which resulted in the match, by simply reading the current value stored in the MnMIDH and MnMIDL registers associated with the matching Message Object, even if a portion of the Screener ID was masked for Acceptance Filtering. Even though this may mean that masked bits of the previously-stored Match ID field may be different from what the user originally programmed, since these bits are masked anyway, it is irrelevant. More particularly, since the incoming CAN Frame must pass through the Acceptance Filter before it can be accepted, only the bits that are masked out will change. Therefore, the criteria for match and mask Acceptance Filtering will not change as a result of the contents of the MnMIDH and MnMIDL registers being changed in response to an accepted incoming CAN Frame being transferred to the appropriate message buffer.

The extracted Screener ID Field assembled from an incoming Standard CAN Frame is 28 bits, consisting of 11 CAN ID bits extracted from the header of the received CAN Frame+2×8 (16) bits from the first and second data bytes (Data Byte **1** and Data Byte **2**) of the received CAN Frame+the IDE bit. Thus, the user is required to set the Msk**1** and Msk**0** bits in the Mask Field (MnMSKL register) for Standard CAN Frame Message Objects, i.e., to "don't care". In addition, in many applications based on Standard CAN Frames, either Data Byte **1**, Data Byte **2**, or both do not

participate in Acceptance Filtering. In those applications, the user must also mask out the unused Data Byte(s). The IDE bit is not maskable. The Screener ID Field for an Extended CAN Frame is 30 bits, consisting of 29 CAN ID bits extracted from the header of the incoming CAN Frame+the IDE bit. Again, the IDE bit is not maskable.

With this "Screener ID write-back" technique of the present invention, the message buffer associated with the matching Message Object is left free for storage of actual data content (i.e., only the actual data bytes of the incoming CAN Frame are stored in the message buffer, and not the Screener ID), thereby conserving valuable memory resources.

Although the present invention has been described in detail hereinabove in the context of a specific preferred embodiment/implementation, it should be clearly understood that many variations, modifications, and/or alternative embodiments/implementations of the basic inventive concepts taught herein which may appear to those skilled in the pertinent art will still fall within the spirit and scope of the present invention, as defined in the appended claims.

What is claimed is:

1. In a CAN device that provides a plurality of message objects each of which has an associated message buffer, at least one associated match ID register, and at least one associated mask register, a method for acceptance filtering incoming CAN frames, the method including the steps of:

extracting a multi-bit screener ID field from a received CAN frame;

comparing the extracted screener ID field to a multi-bit match ID field stored in the at least one match ID register associated with an enabled one of the message objects designated to be a receive message object;

repeating the comparing step for each enabled one of the message objects designated to be a receive message object;

if a match is found as a result of the comparing and repeating steps, storing data bytes of the received CAN frame in the message buffer associated with the matching message object; and,

writing at least a portion of the extracted screener ID field into the at least one match ID register associated with the matching message object.

2. The method as set forth in claim **1**, wherein the at least a portion of the extracted screener ID field includes at least a CAN ID of the received CAN frame.

3. The method as set forth in claim **1**, wherein the at least a portion of the extracted screener ID field comprises the entire extracted screener ID field.

4. The method as set forth in claim **1**, wherein the at least a portion of the extracted screener ID field comprises the entire extracted screener ID field, except for a single IDE bit.

5. The method as set forth in claim **1**, wherein the received CAN frame is a Standard CAN frame, and the extracted screener ID field is 28 bits, consisting of 11 CAN ID bits extracted from a header portion of the received CAN frame, plus 8 bits from a first data byte of the received CAN frame, plus 8 bits from a second data byte of the received CAN frame, plus an IDE bit of the received CAN frame.

6. The method as set forth in claim **5**, wherein the at least a portion of the extracted screener ID field comprises the entire extracted screener ID field, except for the IDE bit.

7. The method as set forth in claim **1**, wherein the received CAN frame is an Extended CAN frame, and the extracted screener ID field is 30 bits, consisting of 29 CAN ID bits extracted from a header portion of the received CAN frame, plus an IDE bit of the received CAN frame.

8. The method as set forth in claim **6**, wherein the at least a portion of the extracted screener ID field comprises the entire extracted screener ID field, except for the IDE bit.

9. The method as set forth in claim **1**, wherein the writing step is performed by writing the at least a portion of the extracted screener ID field over the match ID field associated with the matching message object.

10. The method as set forth in claim **1**, wherein the writing step is performed by writing the entire extracted screener ID field over the match ID field associated with the matching message object, to thereby replace the match ID field with the extracted screener ID.

11. The method as set forth in claim **1**, wherein the writing step is performed by writing the entire extracted screener ID field, except for an IDE bit, over corresponding bit positions of the match ID field associated with the matching message object.

12. The method as set forth in claim **1**, wherein if more than one match is found as a result of the comparing and repeating steps, designating the enabled message object having a lowest object number to be the matching message object.

13. The method as set forth in claim **1**, wherein the at least one mask register associated with each enabled message object designated to be a receive message object stores a multi-bit mask field that masks selected bits of the corresponding match ID field, the masked bits being excluded from the comparisons performed in the comparing and repeating steps.

14. The method as set forth in claim **13**, wherein each multi-bit mask field has bit positions corresponding to all bit positions of the extracted screener ID field except for an IDE bit position.

15. The method as set forth in claim **13**, wherein each multi-bit mask field has bit positions corresponding to all bit positions of the corresponding match ID field except for a match IDE bit position.

16. The method as set forth in claim **1**, wherein the at least a portion of the extracted screener ID field is not stored in the message buffer associated with the matching message object.

17. The method as set forth in claim **1**, wherein the storing step is performed by storing at least selected portions of the received CAN frame other than the at least a portion of the extracted screener ID field in the message buffer associated with the matching message object.

18. The method as set forth in claim **1**, wherein the extracted screener ID field is not stored in the message buffer associated with the matching message object.

19. The method as set forth in claim **1**, wherein the storing step is performed by storing at least selected portions of the received CAN frame other than the extracted screener ID field in the message buffer associated with the matching message object.

20. The method as set forth in claim **1**, wherein the CAN device is a CAN microcontroller.

21. A CAN device that implements the method set forth in claim **1**.

22. The CAN device as set forth in claim **21**, wherein the CAN device comprises a CAN microcontroller.

23. In a CAN device that provides a plurality of message objects each of which has an associated message buffer, at least one associated match ID register, and at least one associated mask register, a method for acceptance filtering incoming CAN frames, the method including the steps of:

extracting a multi-bit screener ID field from a received CAN frame;

comparing the extracted screener ID field to a respective, user-specified multi-bit match ID field stored in the at least one match ID register associated with each enabled one of the message objects designated to be a receive message object, wherein the at least one mask register associated with each enabled message object designated to be a receive message object stores a user-specified, multi-bit mask field that masks selected bits of the corresponding match ID field, the masked bits being excluded from the comparisons;

if a match is found as a result of the comparing step, storing data bytes of the received CAN frame in the message buffer associated with the matching message object; and,

writing the extracted screener ID field into the at least one match ID register associated with the matching message object to replace the match ID field associated with the matching message object.

24. The method as set forth in claim **23**, wherein the storing step is performed by storing at least selected portions of the received CAN frame other than the extracted screener ID field in the message buffer associated with the matching message object.

25. The method as set forth in claim **23**, wherein the extracted screener ID field is not stored in the message buffer associated with the matching message object.

26. The method as set forth in claim **23**, wherein each multi-bit mask field has bit positions corresponding to all bit positions of the corresponding match ID field except for a match IDE bit position.

27. The method as set forth in claim **23**, wherein the received CAN frame is a Standard CAN frame, and the extracted screener ID field is 28 bits, consisting of 11 CAN ID bits extracted from a header portion of the received CAN frame, plus 8 bits from a first data byte of the received CAN frame, plus 8 bits from a second data byte of the received CAN frame, plus an IDE bit of the received CAN frame.

28. The method as set forth in claim **23**, wherein the received CAN frame is an Extended CAN frame, and the extracted screener ID field is 30 bits, consisting of 29 CAN ID bits extracted from a header portion of the received CAN frame, plus an IDE bit of the received CAN frame.

29. The method as set forth in claim **23**, wherein the CAN device is a CAN microcontroller.

30. A CAN device that implements the method set forth in claim **23**.

31. The CAN device as set forth in claim **30**, wherein the CAN device comprises a CAN microcontroller.

32. The method as set forth in claim **23**, wherein the received CAN frame is an Extended CAN frame, and the extracted screener ID field is 30 bits, consisting of 29 CAN ID bits extracted from a header portion of the received CAN frame, plus an IDE bit of the received CAN frame.

33. In a CAN device that provides a plurality of message objects each of which has an associated message buffer, at least one associated match ID register, and at least one associated mask register, a method for acceptance filtering incoming CAN frames, the method including the steps of:

extracting a multi-bit screener ID field from a received CAN frame;

comparing the extracted screener ID field to a respective multi-bit match ID field stored in the at least one match ID register associated with each enabled one of the message objects designated to be a receive message object, disregarding bit positions corresponding to user-specified mask bits contained in a corresponding multi-bit mask field stored in the at least one mask ID register associated with each enabled one of the message objects designated to be a receive message object;

if a match is found as a result of the comparing step, storing data bytes of the received CAN frame in the message buffer associated with the matching message object; and,

writing the extracted screener ID field into the at least one match ID register associated with the matching message object to replace the match ID field associated with the matching message object.

34. The method as set forth in claim **33**, wherein the storing step is performed by storing at least selected portions of the received CAN frame other than the extracted screener ID field in the message buffer associated with the matching message object.

35. The method as set forth in claim **33**, wherein the extracted screener ID field is not stored in the message buffer associated with the matching message object.

36. The method as set forth in claim **33**, wherein each multi-bit mask field has bit positions corresponding to all bit positions of the corresponding match ID field except for a match IDE bit position.

37. The method as set forth in claim **33**, wherein the received CAN frame is a Standard CAN frame, and the extracted screener ID field is 28 bits, consisting of 11 CAN ID bits extracted from a header portion of the received CAN frame, plus 8 bits from a first data byte of the received CAN frame, plus 8 bits from a second data byte of the received CAN frame, plus an IDE bit of the received CAN frame.

38. A CAN device that implements the method set forth in claim **33**.

39. The CAN device as set forth in claim **38**, wherein the CAN device comprises a CAN microcontroller.

* * * * *